

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/920,386	08/01/2001	Greg Eippert	27228/04002	4401
24024 7	590 07/18/2002			
CALFEE HALTER & GRISWOLD, LLP 800 SUPERIOR AVENUE SUITE 1400 CLEVELAND, OH 44114			EXAMINER	
			HENDERSON, MARK T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3722	
			DATE MAILED: 07/18/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/920,386 Page 2

Art Unit: 3722

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Faxing of Responses to Office Actions

In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, TC 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (703)872-9302 (Official) and (703)872-9303 (for After Finals). This practice may be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into TC 3700 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Claims 1-10 in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged

#### **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: Reference "24" is not

Application/Control Number: 09/920,386 Page 3

Art Unit: 3722

stated in the specification. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 3 and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(**h**) as being anticipated by Mayfield (5,102,169).

Mayfield discloses a system and a method of reminding a patient comprising a color-coded sheet (col. 2, lines 16-18) depicting one or more rows (A) and columns (B) to form a matrix comprising a plurality of fields (boxes on the chart); wherein the row and columns further include headers (12, 16 and 20) defining parameters; wherein the headers include medical markings

Art Unit: 3722

(textual notes) which include indicators of medication ("MOTRIN"), and icons (14); one or more color coded containers (Fig. 4-6).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mayfield.

Mayfield discloses a system and method comprising all the elements as claimed in Claim 1, and as set forth above. However, Mayfield does not disclose: wherein the row are shaded in different colors; medications are related to opthalmology; markings designate which eye to place medication within; and one or more areas for placing advertising markings.

In regards to **Claim 2**, matters related to the choice of ornamentation producing no mechanical effect or advantage considered to constitute the invention are considered obvious and do not impart patentability, *In re Seid* 73 USPQ 431. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to place any desired colors on the sheet, since it would depend

Application/Control Number: 09/920,386 Page 5

Art Unit: 3722

on the intended use of the assembly and since the applicant has not disclosed why particular colors added to the sheet are critical to the invention.

In regards to Claims 4-6, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to place any desirable indicia on the sheet representing any desirable information, since it would only depend on the intended use of the assembly and the desired information to be displayed. Further, it has been held that when the claimed printed matter is not functionally related to the substrate it will not distinguish the invention from the prior art in terms of patentability. *In re Gulack* 217 USPQ 401, (CAFC 1983). Also, in the present case, there appears to be no new or unobvious structural relationship between the printed matter and the substrate.

# Prior Art References

The prior art references listed in the attached PTO-892, but not used in a rejection of the claims, are cited for (their/its) structure. Hollingsworth et al, Sharp et al, Shorten, Mayfield ('702), Coleman, Cohen disclose systems for reminding patients what medications to take or administer.

Application/Control Number: 09/920,386

Art Unit: 3722

**Contact Information** 

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Mark T. Henderson whose telephone number is (703)305-0189. The examiner can

be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:30 AM to 3:45 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by

telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner supervisor, A. L. Wellington, can be reached on

(703) 308-2159. The fax number for TC 3700 is (703)-872-9302. Any inquiry of a general

nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 3700

receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1148.

MTH

July 12, 2002

A. L. WELLINGTON

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 6